International Journal of Civil Engineering
(IJCE)
ISSN (P): 2278–9987; ISSN (E): 2278–9995
Vol. 13, Issue 1, Jan–Jun 2024; 1–14

International Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology
Connecting Researchers; Nurturing Innovations

INFLUENCE OF HEAT ON THE PERMEABILITY AND DURABILITY OF CONVENTIONAL AND ROBUST CONCRETE

Prof. Anuj Verma[1], Yash Gupta[2], Shubham Kumar[3], Amar Singh Tomar[4] & Arvind Singh Mourya[5]

[1]Department of Head & Assistant Professor Rajshree Institute of Management and Technology, Bareilly

[2,3,4,5]Research Scholar, Department of Civil Engineering, Rajshree Institute of Management and Technology, Bareilly

ABSTRACT

Specifically, the researchers investigated how the temperature of the conditioning process affected the permeability and strength of the concrete. Following a conditioning period of 28 days at temperatures of 85 and 105 8 degrees Celsius, concretes were evaluated for their permeability, compressive strength, and indirect tensile strength to determine their properties. In terms of strength, the concretes were expected to achieve values of forty and one hundred N/mm2, respectively. After 28 days of curing at temperatures of 85 degrees Celsius and 105 degrees Celsius, respectively, strength tests conducted on normal-strength concrete (NSC) and high-strength concrete (HSC) gave results that were comparable. The findings of the permeability test were relatively consistent for both of the conditioning temperatures; nonetheless, there were greater variations than in the reports that came before. Based on the findings, it was determined that conditioning at either 85 or 105 degrees Celsius was satisfactory, with 105 degrees Celsius being the temperature that was desired

KEYWORDS: *Concrete*

Article History

Received: 20 Jun 2024 | Revised: 20 Jun 2024 | Accepted: 21 Jun 2024

INTRODUCTION

It is vital to prepare and condition the specimen before conducting the test in order to figure out the permeability of the substance being tested. Conditioning mostly involves preparing the sample before testing to achieve a uniform moisture distribution throughout the specimen. During sample preparation and conditioning, it is crucial to carefully control the drying process since drying can deplete pore space and potentially cause cracking in the microstructure. Extensive drying can lead to inaccurate permeability coefficients for the concrete being tested. A more porous structure is born from the drying process, which causes the production of many fissures. Because of its porous structure, the permeating medium is able to penetrate more easily. Gas permeability is highly dependent on the porous network's design and moisture content. As the concrete gets close to drying, the effect becomes more noticeable [1,2]. A variety of methods exist, each with its own set of advantages and disadvantages, for standardizing the moisture content within pores before an operation. Nevertheless, these methods do have certain drawbacks. They aim to attain a nearly dry state, hence not following the prescribed conditioning methods could lead to uneven outcomes.

The amount of moisture present in concrete has a major impact on the hydration of the cement and has an effect on the structure of the pores. It has a substantial impact on the properties of transport and encourages a variety of processes that lead to material degradation. When it comes to establishing the relative permeability of concrete, the moisture content of the material is frequently identified as a major aspect in the research that has been done.

A number of recent research have made an effort to enhance the preconditioning procedure that is used to measure gas permeability values. With the purpose of preparing specimens for testing in the CEMBUREAU gas permeability test, a RILEM group has developed a preliminary standard that describes preconditioning methods. This standard was designed with the objective of preparing tests. The preparation of specimens for testing was the reason for carrying out these actions. This standard, which is intended to be utilized for the purpose of preparing specimens, has as its intention the preparation of specimens. In order to achieve the goal of establishing a uniform distribution of mass and moisture, both approaches involve the utilization of a combination of temperature conditioning for variable periods of time. This is done in order to accomplish various objectives. The reason for doing this is to achieve the effect that is intended.

Dehydrating specimens in order to attain a particular rate of water evaporation is one of the additional methods that can be utilised in the preparation process. The specimens are dried in sequential intervals as part of this procedure, and permeability tests are carried out in order to achieve gas permeabilities at a variety of saturation levels, including full dryness. In the event that the concrete dries at a slow rate, this method may take a considerable amount of time, which raises questions over its relevance to permeability investigations on high-performance concrete. The purpose of this research was to explore the impact that two distinct conditioning temperatures have on the strength and permeability of high-strength concrete (HSC) and normal-strength concrete (NSC). For the purpose of determining the permeability of the material, the test that was utilized was the nitrogen gas relative permeability test, which was initially devised by Martin [8] and later modified by Lydon [9].

RELATIVE GAS PERMEABILITY TEST

In order to accomplish the objectives of this investigation, the relative gas permeability test was the kind of permeability test that was utilised. Using the findings of the tests, which included a wide range of characteristics, it was essential to develop an index which would indicate the ability to leak of the concrete. This index would be constructed by using the results of the tests. These details were utilized in the process of determining the index. When it came to the computation of the index, this was unquestionably something that was required to be done. A graphical depiction of the qualities is presented in Figure 1(a) and (b) for the goal of making comparisons easier to understand. Obtaining one of these numbers is not an impossible feat for you to complete; in fact, it is not even highly unlikely. The area under the pressure vs. time graph, which is shortened as m; the gradient of the line that appears in the log pressure vs. time plot; and (a) the half time, in moments, that it takes for the pressure in the reservoir to decrease from 10 to 5 bars are the three variables that can be determined by using a pressure-time decay curve. The area under the graph is abbreviated as m. In this context, the letter m stands for each and every one of these factors. The sections that follow contain an analysis of these parameters, which can be found in the introduction. In a matter of minutes, you will be able to perform the task of quantifying each and every one of these things. All of these measurements are expressed in minutes. Minutes are used to express each and every one of these elements.

It was found that this time period was exceedingly lengthy and would not be considered acceptable due to the amount of time that was spent on the testing. This conclusion was reached as a result of the analysis that was performed. A previous study that Gardner [10] conducted demonstrated that full permeability tests carried out on high-strength concrete lasted for more than two weeks. This was demonstrated by the fact that the tests were carried out. The fact that the experiments were carried out is evidence that this is the situation that has been transpiring. After careful consideration, it was concluded that the time frame in question did not live up to the standards that had been established for it. A thorough discussion led to the conclusion that the half time and the gradient of the graph of log pressure against time were the two components that ought to be utilized. This conclusion was reached after considerable consideration. The conclusion that was reached was reached after taking into account all of the pertinent factors. A thorough inquiry led to the discovery of this conclusion, which was reached after the investigation was completed. Following the completion of the study, this was the result that was arrived at. Because of the pressing nature of the demand, it was imperative that both of these characteristics be present at the very moment. In order to get the information that is required for determining the specific parameters that are in issue, it is possible to drop the pressure from 10 bar to 5 bar. This is a reasonable option. By reducing the pressure, it is possible to achieve this goal. There is no doubt that this is something that can be accomplished. This resulted in a huge reduction in the amount of time that was spent testing a variety of items because the tests did not need to be extended beyond 5 bar. This was a consequence of this. Because of this, the amount of time that was spent testing a variety of goods was significantly reduced, which was a direct effect of the situation.

EXPERIMENTAL PROGRAMME

Components and Proportions of the Mixture

This investigation came to the conclusion that the concrete that had an elasticity of 40 N/mm2 (C40) was classified as normal-strength concrete (NSC). This conclusion was reached based on the findings of the investigation.

NSC is made up of the core components of cement, aggregate, and water. High-strength concrete (HSC) was identified as the concrete that had a yield strength of 100 N/mm2 (C100), according to the findings of the investigation. In order to produce this high-strength mixture, the manufacturing process required the utilization of silica fume and a superplasticizer over the course of a period of twenty-eight straight days. The combination was produced by employing this method in order to accomplish the task. This action was taken in order to accomplish the desired level of functionality and compressive force in the construction material.

Specimen Preparation

Table 2 contains a breakdown of the specimens that were used for each combination. This breakdown can be found in the table. For the purpose of this project, twenty-two cubes of 100 millimetres each and ten cylinders measuring 200 millimetres by 100 millimetres were cast. In order to determine the concrete's Modulus of Elasticity, which is represented by the letter E, and its tensile strength, which is represented by the letter ft, a torsion test was performed on the construction material. Control cylinders were manufactured for each mixture, and after a period of 28 days had passed, they were looked at [11]. Cylinders are put through an easy method known as the torsion test, which involves applying a predetermined amount of torque to the cylinders. Obtaining the value of the modulus of shear is feasible through the utilization of the torque–twist relationship; hence, E can be quantified with an assumption that r is equivalent to 0.2.

The maximal torque is a measure of tensile strength that is not directly measured. There is no direct measurement of tensile strength. For the purpose of determining the compressive strength after a period of 28 days, control cubes were produced. In addition, in order to carry out permeability tests, four cubes were manufactured for each of the mixes.

The cubes that were cast in order to conduct out control tests were placed into a water curing tank at a temperature of 20 8 degrees Celsius after going through the process of demoulding. This was done in order to ensure that the cubes were in good condition. It was necessary to take this action in order to avoid any contamination. I did this in order to provide the cubes with the necessary preparations for the control testing that was going to take place. The cubes were removed from the tank one hour before the scheduled time for the testing to take place. The testing was scheduled to take place. During the period of time that was just four hours prior to the cylinders being subjected to torsion testing, the cylinders were pulled from the curing tank and removed from the tank. For the purpose of getting ready for the torsion test, this was done. When the time came for the conditioning phase, the cubes that had been used for the relative permeability tests and the conditioning research were put into the water curing tank and allowed to cure for a period of seven days. This was done in order to ensure that the cubes were in good condition. For the purpose of satisfying the prerequisites for the conditioning phase, this was carried out. Once that was complete, they were removed from the tank so that the process of conditioning could start.

Table 1: Mix Proportions for C40 and C100 Concrete

Mix Reference	Cement	Silica fume	Fine aggregate	Coarse aggregate	Water	Super plasticizer (ml/kg)
C40	1	–	1.94	2.42	0.52	–
C100	1	0.11	1.52	2.55	0.32	29.5

On day five of curing, prior to training, every single one of those cubes that have been taken into consideration for establishing the permeability ratio were drilled. This was done in order to ensure that the cubes retain their properties. Because of this, it was required to assess the relative permeability of the material. This was done in order to ensure that the cubes retain their properties. Two holes, each measuring six millimetres in diameter, were drilled into the middle of each cube. It was approximately half of the cube that was drilled into the hole, which was bored from one of the faces of the cube. Once that was done, the cube was turned over so that the other side was facing upward, and the process was repeated in the same manner. This method of drilling was utilised in order to avoid causing any harm to the surface of the concrete that was being drilled. This was accomplished by drilling more and further down the complete depth of the cube, despite the fact that we only employed one side of the specimen. This allowed us to accomplish what we set out to do. Our ability to do what we set out to do was made feasible as a result of this. Before the testing could be carried out, it was necessary to clean the surfaces of the cubes at the appropriate spots. This was an essential step that needed to be taken into consideration. The testing required that you fulfill this requirement. Additionally, in order to accomplish this, it was essential to force pressurized air through the hole that had been bored and over the outside of the specimen. The effect that was desired in the specimen was achieved as a result of this. Before the testing was carried out, this surgery was carried out.

Details of the Conditioning Regime

After the process of repairing had been finished for a period of seven days, the next item that was carried out was the training program. This was the next thing that was carried out. At two different temperatures, 105 and 85 8 degrees Celsius, the conditioning operations were carried out until a weight change of 0.02% was recorded between consecutive readings in any 24-hour period. This was done until the procedure was completed. In order to undergo the conditioning operations, the temperature ranges that were utilized were 105 and 85 8 degrees Celsius. Following the recording of the increment in weight, the operations were carried out until they were finished.

After that, the procedures were not repeated. It had been hypothesised that this particular situation would result in the specimen experiencing the greatest potential percentage of weight loss. In accordance with the information that is shown in Table 2, three cubes were manufactured for each mixture in order to carry out the testing procedure immediately after the conclusion of the conditioning routine at both temperatures. When these cubes were removed from the oven, they were placed in the desiccator to cool down. Twenty-four hours later, they were evaluated to determine whether or not they had turned out well. As an additional point of interest, three cubes were made for the purpose of testing after being set in the a dehydrator until their counterparts at a lower temperature had reached their minimal percentage of weight loss. This was done in order to ensure that the cubes were as accurate as possible. To ensure that the cubes were as accurate as possible, this was done in order to guarantee their precision. This action was taken in order to assure the precision of the cubes, which was done in order to ensure that they were as accurate as possible. Following the conclusion of the preparation procedure, the evaluation was carried out immediately following the cubes were placed in the desiccator. This was immediately followed by the completion of the final step in the process.

In order to have a more comprehensive comprehension of the outcomes, this was carried out. At first, it was thought that the specimens that were subjected to the conditioning process at a temperature of 105 degrees Celsius would obtain the highest possible percentage. However, this was not the case.

Table 2: Details of the Conditioning Regime

No. Of Cubes	No. Of Cylinders	Use
3	–	Testing of Compressive Strength Over a Week
3	2	Tests of Compressive Strength and Torsion Lasting for 28 Days
3	2	Tested immediately (both C and T)A after being dried at 85 8C.
3	2	Placed in Desiccators, Then Dried at 85 degrees Celsius
		After that, Tested (C and T)
2	–	After being dried at 85 degrees Celsius, the Relative
		Permeability
3	2	Tested immediately (both C and T) after being dried at 105 8 F.
3	2	Placed in a desiccator and dried at 105 degrees Celsius
		After that, Tested (C and T)
2	–	temperature of 105 degrees Celsius, then an examination to determine
		Relative Permeability

The specimens that had been conditioned at 105 and 85 degrees Celsius after the same amount of time had passed from casting were taken into consideration in order to carry out an ideal test for the purpose of comparison. This was done in order to ensure that the desired results were obtained. The specimens had been conditioned at the same temperature during the entire process, which was the cause for this result. This activity was carried out; it was chosen to be carried out in order to guarantee that the outcomes were comparable to one another. The number of specimens cast for each mix prior to the specimens that were conditioned at a temperature of 85 degrees Celsius decreased, and the weight of the specimens that were utilized for a longer period of time decreased as well. This might be attributed to the fact that the specimens were conditioned at a temperature of 85 degrees Celsius. The compressive strength tests for normal-strength concrete were carried out after the final specimens had been placed in the desiccators for a period of time equaling eighteen days. When it came to high-strength concrete, the tests were carried out after a period of ten days had passed since the first examples were placed in their respective locations. This operation was carried out on the concrete in order to ensure that it had reached its full capacity and was operating at its full ability. In the aftermath of the conclusion of the initial storage period, the tests were carried out on concrete that possessed a normal strength. Specifically, this was done with regard to concrete.

Gas Relative Permeability Test Details

Figure 2 shows a graphical representation of the components that make up the experimental setup. In order to disconnect the pressurised cylinder that housed the nitrogen gas from the reservoir, a regulator valve known as dAT was utilised. It is essential to take into consideration the fact that the cylinder was employed for the purpose of storing the gas while the process was being carried out. Because this valve was opened, the pressure that was contained within the reservoir increased to a level of 10 bar throughout the remainder of the experiment. This rise in pressure occurred because the valve was opened. The occurrence of this change was brought about as a result of the valve being opened. It was finally decided to go ahead with this course of action because it was deemed to be appropriate. The reservoir was separated from the pressure cell by a different valve, and when this valve was opened, the pressured gas entered the cell at a speedy pace, which resulted in a decline in the pressure that was recorded in the reservoir. Once that was accomplished, this method was repeated until the pressure inside the reservoir reached a level that remained at a constant 10 bar during the entire process. All of this was carried out until the reservoir reached the level that was required. This procedure was carried out multiple times until the reservoir reached the appropriate level, at which point it was decided that the process was finished.

The connection between the pressurised gas cylinder and the reservoir was severed at that moment by closing the valve dAT, which also served to cut off the connection. After that, the initiation of the examination took place. The data was saved as a text file on a computer, which was used to record the information. Through the utilisation of a pressure transducer, the computer was utilised to keep a record of the pressure decrease that took place in each of the reservoirs. Replicating the testing apparatus allowed for the simultaneous testing of two distinct specimens, which was the primary objective of the replicating process. A thorough inspection and calibration of the pressure gauge, along with any other recording devices, was performed at the beginning of each and every test. In order to ensure that there was no gas leakage, a variety of tests were carried out. These tests were taken in order to guarantee that there was no gas leaking. The inspection of the cells and the search for air bubbles in the petroleum jelly that surrounds the lids that were closed were two of the tests that were carried out. It was essential to carry out both of these evaluations in order to guarantee that the structure did not have any gas escaping from it.

The weights of the two cubes were determined as soon as they were withdrawn from the desiccator, which was done at the beginning of each test. The cubes were removed from the desiccator. In order to ensure that the consequences that were predicted would be achieved, it was necessary to take this action. On the top face of the cubes, as well as on the bottom face of the cubes, including the aluminum tape, a thin layer of petroleum jelly was placed. This was done in order to protect the aluminum tape. It was necessary to do this in order to conceal the aluminum tape. The aluminum tape was protected by taking this action, which was taken for the purpose of safety. To ensure that the cubes were completely covered, an additional coating of petroleum jelly was sprayed on top of them. This was done in order to ensure thorough coverage. In order to conceal the surface of the bottom hole, aluminum tape was applied to the bottom hole of the cube. This tape was used to hide the bottom hole. After carefully aligning the hole in the top of the specimen with the hole in the lid of the permeability cell, a circular pad made of rubberized cork was placed on the base of the permeability cell. This was done in order to ensure that the permeability cell was properly positioned. Immediately following the placement of the permeability cell on the base of the specimen, this step was carried out. This was done in order to ensure that the two holes were compatible with one another and to prevent any potential issues from occurring.

This was done in order to observe the permeability of the specimen. Following this, the specimen for the test was presented. The specimen was then covered with a second circular pad composed of rubberized cork, which was placed on top of the specimen. As a result of the fact that it was going to be done, this pad was expected to have a hole in the middle that would correlate with the hole that was located on the top face of the cube. This was supposed to be done. All along, this was the plan of action. After the lid had been correctly attached to the cell, it was required to apply an additional coating of petroleum jelly all the way around the cork pad. This was done to ensure that the cell would have a flawless seal once the lid was properly attached. To ensure that the cell would have a flawless seal, this was done in order to assure that it would. The purpose of this action was to ensure that the cell would have a seal that was completely free of any defects or defects of any kind before it was used. After that, the lid was positioned on top of the cell, and it was sealed accordingly, in line with the methodical methodology that was utilized. once utilizing a thorough approach that involved the tightening of twelve bolts, this was accomplished once the procedure was completed. It was necessary to carry out this procedure in order to ensure that a gas-tight seal was created uniformly and that there was no gas leakage from the interface between the concrete and the rubberized cork pads throughout the course of the test.

TEST RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Strength Properties

Compressive Strength

The findings of the mean compressive strength, which is denoted by the symbol fcu, are included in Table 3, which provides a summary of the findings. In this table, the results are highlighted in an emphasis. In addition, it is important to take note of the fact that the coefficients of variation, which are denoted by the notation V%, are underlined in the table. These are some extra points of interest to consider. There had been a period of twenty-eight days since the water had been allowed to cure prior to the control tests being carried out. That period of time had been allotted for the water to mature and become more stable. The results of these tests are summarized in the first two rows of Table 3, which can be found further down on this page. You may get this summary by scrolling down even further.

However, there is one significant exception to this rule where the control tests were carried out. The coefficients of variation for these tests were rather low in comparison to those of the other tests that were carried out. As a consequence of the conditioning tests that were carried out at temperatures of 85 and 105 degrees Celsius, the results that are still available have been derived. There is information regarding the number of days that were spent storing, conditioning, and curing the product in the desiccator. This information is contained in the second and fourth columns to the right. However, while taking into consideration the outcomes of the tests that are provided in Table 3, it is essential to exercise caution. For example, the C40 concrete went through a significant amount of variation in the number of days that passed between the time it took to cure and the day that it was put to the test. This variation was rather significant. Due to this, there was a significant level of variety. The fact that this particular incidence took place is what ultimately led to the occurrence of this. This particular component was responsible for the fact that the outcomes of the examination were altered, which resulted in the results being drastically different from what they had been before.

When compared to the cubes that served as the control, it is evident that the compressive strength of the cubes that were conditioned at this temperature displays a minor expansion. This is the case because the cubes were conditioned at this temperature. When the cubes that were conditioned at 85–8 degrees Celsius are compared to the cubes that were selected as the control, this is the situation that occurs. During the course of the experiment, there is a chance that this quality will be observed at some point. This possibility exists.

Other, all plausible, reasons could also account for this. It is well known that at temperatures higher than those frequently recorded in laboratories, the rate of the pozzolanic reaction in concrete accelerates. More violent reactions in the concrete result in this. Higher compressive strength produced as a result causes a greater degree of hydration and the development of concrete. It is possible to argue that this early strategy directly led to a later high-temperature curing process. Moreover, the specimens spent more time in the oven than the concrete, which was heated to 105 8 degrees Celsius. Longer periods of time at this temperature were spent curing them since this produced the highest possible percentage of weight loss. It should be mentioned that the bimmediate Q testing was finished about ten days prior to the 28-day compressive strength tests. It is proper to draw your notice to this.

Lower bimmediateQ compressive strength was found in the C40 concrete conditioned at 105 8C than in the control and 85 8C concrete. This is so that the control concrete may be created at a greater temperature. Why this is the situation may be explained in a number of ways. During the hydration process of concrete, higher temperatures result in a significant loss of water very quickly. This is the first item that has to be looked into with reference to this incident. This stops more hydration of the concrete, which keeps it from becoming stronger. Second, and this could be detrimental, the production of steam could raise the specimens' internal pressures. Microcracks could develop from this pressure affecting the internal structure of the concrete. Perhaps this injury is the result of external pressure. In turn, this reduces the compressive strength of the concrete structure. The condition was made much worse by the test being carried out just seventeen days after the concrete was finished. As a result, considering the one-week duration of the curing process and the ten-day elevation of the conditioning temperature to 105 degrees Celsius, the 28-day strength is highly unlikely to be realized.

Table 3: C40 and C100 Concrete Compressive Strength Results

	Immediate mean fcu, N/mm2 (V(%))	No. of days until test from casting date (total days)	Dessicator mean fcu, N/mm2 (V(%))	No. of days until test from casting date (total days)
C40 28-day control	48.0 (0.3)	28+0+0 (28)	–	–
C100 28-day control	106.5 (2.0)	28+0+0 (28)	–	–
C40 concrete conditioned at 85 8C	48.6 (2.4)	7+11+0a (18)	45.8 (5.8)	7+11+17 (35)
C40 concrete conditioned at 105 8C	46.7 (3.5)	7+10+0 (17)	44.5 (1.6)	7+10+18 (35)
C100 concrete conditioned at 85 8C	115.1 (3.8)	7+21+0 (28)	104.1 (7.3)	7+21+7 (35)
C100 concrete conditioned at 105 8C	114.4 (5.8)	7+21+0 (28)	112.8 (3.0)	7+21+7 (35)

Eleven days were spent conditioning, then seven days were spent drying in a desiccator, and there was no cure after that. A dehydrator was used for the drying process. The strongest concrete was the one that was tried right after being heated to 85°C to soften it. Even though each C40 test specimen had the best compressive strength, this was still the case. Another idea is that this might be happening because the curing process involves high heat and lots of water. This backs up one theory. It's still not clear what temperature range the specimens were in and how they were spread out in space at 85 degrees Celsius. Also, the appearance or absence is not explained very well.

We looked at the difference between the control mix's compressive strength and the numbers we got from the nearby material. The results of the test mix were similar. When testing compressive strength, a specimen that has just been submerged in water always gets a better rating than a specimen that has been dry [12]. The specimen just recently had its water taken away, which is why. The truth of this matter is widely known and agreed upon by many. It's important to note that conditioning at high temperatures can be thought of as a time of curing at high temperatures that raises the compressive strength values. The control mix had the lowest coefficient of variance of all the combos that were looked at. For the control mixture to be as equal as possible, it was put in water at a temperature of 28°C for the longest time—28 days. This method made sure that the amount of uniformity was the highest. It was planned that this treatment would be chosen, so it was expected. I have never seen instant results that were worse than those of the cubes that stayed in the desiccators the whole time of the experiment. The exact reason of these events is still unknown, even though it is widely known that they happened. It is generally agreed that the compression strength and the time between tests are directly related. In general, this is something you should expect. More study needs to be done before we can give clear answers to this issue.

When the average numbers of the immediate compressive strength were looked at throughout the study, high strength concrete tended to behave similarly to regular strength concrete. When normal strength concrete was condition at 85 and 105 degrees Celsius, its immediate average compressive strength values changed a lot more than those of concrete that had been condition at higher temperatures. Why are the starting mean values for the compressive strength of concrete different when it is heated to 85°C and 105°C? The tests were done on the same day, but after 28 days, both of them are stronger than the average compression strength found in the control tests. Even though it was done by one person, it is still true. Even though trials are going on at the same time, this is how things stand right now. The specimens that were kept in the dessicator had higher compression strengths than the control mix as a whole, but lower compressive strengths than the specimens that were tested right after conditioning. When you compare the specimens that were tested right after conditioning to the control mix, the specimens that were tested right after conditioning have higher compression strength. The control mix had a much higher compression strength than the samples that were tested right after they were stretched.

This means that the same methods and reasons that were used for NSC can also be used for HSC. When the first strength tests were done on the concrete that had been heated to 85°C and 105°C, they showed a big improvement compared to the control mixture's results. The study, on the other hand, found that the control mix had less compressive power. The results of the experiment were very different from the results of the control mixture. Once more, the control mix cubes had the lowest coefficient of variation of all the groups that were tested. Like what the NSC found, it's important to know that specimens that are analyzed right away always give better test results than specimens that are analyzed after being put in the desiccators. It is very important to remember this particular piece of information about this subject.

Tensile Strength and Torsion Test

The range of outcomes for the C40 concrete after 28 days of controlled water exposure surpassed expectations. The subsequent results are derived from studies conducted on material conditioning at temperatures of 105°C and 85°C. The length of time for desiccant storage, conditioning, and curing is specified in the third column.

C40 concrete has an average compressive strength of 42.7 kilo newtons per square millimeter and undergoes curing at a temperature of 105 degrees Celsius. The results for the average E value at 11.8% showed a wider range compared to the results for the concrete heated to 85°C. The concrete heated to 85°C had an average E value of 40.3

kN/mm2 and a coefficient of variation of 2.2%. The C40 concrete samples that had been undergoing the curing process at temperatures of 85°C and 105°C were taken out of the oven at the same time. The lower mean E values for both types of concrete, compared to control mixes, can be attributed to the increased brittleness of the concrete due to drying. The compressive strength of the concrete subjected to a temperature of 105°C was greater than that of the control concrete and the concrete exposed to a temperature of 85°C. The 28-day compression strength tests were finished this week, and the subsequent analysis presents the results. Upon heating the HSC to a temperature of 105°C, no discernible weight change of less than 0.02% was observed. The delayed drainage of water in HSC may have prevented them from attaining the required weight. The HSC will gradually dehydrate over time. After a period of three weeks since their creation, the concrete samples underwent testing to determine their compressive strength. The concrete cured at 85°C had the highest coefficient of variation, which was correlated with the greatest tensile strength. When concrete is heated to a temperature of 105°C instead of 85°C, it no longer has the capacity to break items. This discrepancy may arise due to plant damage or dehydration during the 105°C preparation phase. Concrete chunks that were cured at temperatures of 85°C and 105°C exhibited greater tensile strengths compared to the control mix. Therefore, during the curing process, the concrete undergoes faster hydration.

The presence of hydration products within softening concrete leads to a reduction in its flexibility. The use of C100 concrete provides a more distinct demonstration of the impact. Over time, the concrete undergoes a process of hardening, resulting in an increase in its Young's modulus. This was observed in combinations of HSC. The average Young's modulus of the reference concrete was 63.2 kN/mm2 after 28 days. The mean values of the modulus of elasticity (E) for C100 concrete subjected to temperatures of 85°C and 105°C were 49.9 kN/mm2 and 49.0 kN/mm2, respectively. The tests were conducted around 10 weeks after the 28-day period required for the twisting testing to be completed. They demonstrated a significant decrease in the E value. One potential factor for this is the desire to achieve weight loss. Prior to the permeability test, each specimen undergoes conditioning. This has no impact on the final temperature that the air conditioning system establishes. The primary focus of the inquiry will be the fluctuations in the mean E values of concrete subjected to temperatures of 85°C and 105°C. The method for high-strength concrete is largely identical. Under these circumstances, training at any temperature is thus deemed permissible. According to Table 4, the average tensile values of the control mix are lower than those of C100 concrete cured at temperatures of 85 and 105 degrees Celsius. The coefficient of change in tensile strength was highest in the concrete conditioned at 85°C. Specimens undergo significant changes at temperatures below 100°C due to the presence of water, which can exist as either a liquid or gas depending on its internal temperature.

The concrete, as evidenced by its distinct fracture surface, was clearly subjected to a temperature of 85°C. The moisture content of the cylinder was subsequently altered as the water gradually seeped out of the concrete core. The moisture content remains unchanged when the temperature exceeds 105 degrees Celsius. This phenomenon can be attributed to the fragility of the concrete, as it fractured at approximately 105°C, resulting in two separate pieces that were oriented at a 45° angle from the longitudinal axis along a primary fracture plane. Surface fractures emerged when the concrete was subjected to a temperature of 85°C and inclined at a 45-degree angle from the horizontal. A few occurrences managed to endure due to the fractures that expanded into the space between the interconnected rings.

Permeability Properties

According to the experimental programs, study had to be done on the permeability of gases in order to meet the requirements. This had to be done in order to meet the requirements that were set. The graph of logarithmic pressure versus time is shown in Table 5, along with the half-time values (t1/2) for a number of different types of concrete and temperatures that were used in the conditioning process. This also shows the average slope of the curve, which is shown by the letter m.

The half-life values for the C40 concrete and the C100 concrete are two orders of magnitude more different from each other. These differences are shown in Table 5. You can look at these numbers in the table. In addition, these numbers are built into the table that is being shown. It has been found that this finding is linked to both of the temperatures that were used for the conditioning. It has been shown that this connection gives proof. Although there are differences in half-life outcomes that happen when the temperature of the conditioning changes, these differences are not as important as the results that happen when the temperature changes. That's because the temperature at which the conditioning takes place is what changes the half-life results. These two data points were all that were used to figure out the average half-life number shown in Table 5. It was necessary to do this to make sure it was right. When the concrete was heated to 85 degrees Celsius, the standard deviation was 15%. When the concrete was heated to 105 degrees Celsius, the standard deviation was 49%. Neither temperature seemed very different from the other, at least not in ways that could be seen. Since the coefficients of variation show the changes in C40 levels that happen during the training process between 85 and 105 degrees Celsius, it is important to be careful when looking at these numbers. This is because the knowledge is in the coefficients of variation. The temperature changes between 85 and 105 degrees Celsius. However, it's possible that the difference in the C40 concrete's half-life readings was caused by a number of other factors. It is possible for this to happen. The drying process goes very quickly when the temperature is high and stops when all the water that was in the tissue samples has been taken out. This takes place when it's hot outside. Because of this, there are fewer hydration products in the structure. This makes the structure more open than the concrete that was conditioned at 85 degrees Celsius. This is because there are more holes in the construction. This is because the building has been conditioned at slightly higher temperatures than usual. In addition, the concrete that had been heated to 105 degrees Celsius during the conditioning process gave more water than the concrete that had not been heated. The concrete had also been "conditioned," which was another thing that played a role. Previous research has shown that the amount of water in concrete has a big effect on how permeable it is. This is what we can say based on the things we've already talked about. These things are happening because the object in question has a lot of water in it. Our research shows that the example with less water content has better permeability than the concrete that has been conditioned at 105 degrees Celsius. We found this by comparing it to the 105-degree-conditioned concrete. More specifically, this is because the specimen has a lower amount of water in its make-up. Following the conditioning of C100 test specimens at 85 degrees Celsius, it was found that the middle of the specimen had a dark circle with a lighter ring of concrete around it. During the conditioning process, this was found in the body. It was found that the animals behaved in this way. The formation in question was found to be in the middle of the object being studied. When the concrete was conditioned, it was kept at 85 degrees Celsius, which let the water on the surface of the concrete slowly drain. This method was used to get the concrete ready. This process was carried out before the concrete was put down. That was the original goal of this action: to make it easier to get to the pore structure. That goal was reached by taking this action. See Table 5 for details. The differences that Al-Otaibi [13] saw are similar to the average difference in half times of normal-strength concrete that was heated to 105 degrees Celsius and 85 degrees Celsius during the trial. The table shows that this is true. Since the temperatures were checked at all of these values, this is what has happened. Based on what Al-Otaibi saw, the relative permeability of concrete that had been conditioned at temperatures of 50 degrees Celsius and 105 degrees Celsius changed by 15%. This was a very important discovery. It was possible for him to come to this conclusion because he did his study in the same place and with the same tools.

The difference in average parameter values found during the permeability test of C100 concrete that had been heated to 105 and 85 degrees Celsius shows a trend that is similar to the trend found in C40 concrete. It was possible to find this trend by comparing the values of the experimental factors. That something wasn't right was found during the permeability test. The concrete that had been heated to 105 degrees Celsius did this specific thing. One way to improve the flow of concrete is to heat it up to 105 degrees Celsius. Because of this, the temperature rises to 105 degrees Celsius in the end. Table 5 shows that the grade of the concrete has a bigger effect than the temperature at which it is conditioned. This is because the depth of the concrete makes a bigger difference. For this reason, the grade of the concrete has a bigger effect than the other considerations. There may have been differences in the permeability readings between the two temperature settings because the concrete that was heated up lost more water molecules. Something like this is something that could happen. You shouldn't rule out the possibility that this will happen. In the same way, the chance that this will happen is not something that should be ignored. It is possible for high-strength concrete, like C100, to keep losing water for a long time after it has reached the maximum weight loss that was permitted during the conditioning process. This is possible because the concrete can keep losing water. This is because dropping weight is a normal process that takes time. This is still the case even after the concrete has lost the most weight possible in that situation. According to a study by Al-Otaibi [13], the relative permeability values of HSC (77 N/mm2) changed in the same way when heated to 50 and 105 8C, as well as when these two temperatures were mixed. These results came from the fact that the HSC went through both of these levels at the same time. The goal of the analysis was to find out if these changes really did happen.

CONCLUSIONS

Many studies that have been done on the permeability of concrete have used permeability tests and given permeability values based on a conditioning program that includes some or all of the conditioning process at 105.8C. Most of the work that has been made public agrees with this. A lot of the research that has been released supports this claim. By looking at how the standard concrete and the high-strength concrete used in this experiment are different, we can say that the high-strength concrete lets less water through than the standard concrete. We think this after putting the two types of concrete next to each other. There is silica dust in high-strength concrete, which is what makes it that way. Some things were different between the temps, but most people agree on what caused the changes in permeability that were seen after conditioning at 105 and 85 8C. Even though it was found that the two temperatures are not the same, this is still true. Even so, this is how things stand right now.

It's not enough to just look at the coefficients of variation to figure out why the conditioning temperature was chosen or not chosen. They need to be put together with other kinds of statistics. This is because choices were made while the temperature was being set. It's because the factors of change don't meet the requirements for being statistically important. Because concrete is diverse, the things that make it unique are likely to be very different. Because concrete can be used for many things, this is the case. This is why it does show up, since this is the case. The control tests used the same set of things, methods, and settings as the other studies, so these results are very clear. It was still seen in the control tests that after 28 days, the compression strengths of NSC and HSC changed by 0.3% and 2.0%, respectively. NSC's E value went up by 10.8% and HSC's changed by 3.0% after 28 days. The values were found by seeing how the values of the two materials changed after 28 days. The numbers were found by looking at the changes in the two elements after 28 days.

The strength tests for regular and high-strength concrete gave the same results, even though they were conditioned at different temperatures. It was pretty hot outside, but this is still true. This is the case because of the reason given in the line before this one. The quality of the concrete, on the other hand, is much more important than the temperature at which it is being dried. That is a direct link between the grade of the concrete and how well it lets water through. This is mostly because the grade of the concrete has a bigger impact on how well it lets water pass through. With this information in mind and the concrete being what it is, heating it to 85 degrees Celsius doesn't seem to be better than heating it to 105 degrees Celsius. It takes longer to get ready for fight when it's 85 degrees Celsius than when it's 105 degrees Celsius. This is very true since the weather has changed. There is also a chance that changes in the permeability properties could be linked to changes in the amount of water present and how different conditioning temperatures affect the process of hydration. People are thinking about this. Since both of these things change the permeability, it makes sense that this is the case. The examples that were formed at the higher temperature may have been harmed in some way, but this isn't thought to be a big deal since the permeability factors haven't changed. In this case, the items were worked on while they were warmer during the conditioning process. Al-Otaibi's study [13] data support this view. The second study looked at the training method with 50 degrees Celsius, 105 degrees Celsius, and a mix of the two. It came to the same conclusions as this study. This is because the same method was used for both levels. This is what was found because both temperatures were used in the same way. We can say that when the temperature goes above 50 degrees Celsius, the gap between the mean permeability factors starts to get smaller. The next thing we can do is based on this. Not only does conditioning concrete at 105 8C have benefits that are similar to conditioning concrete at 85 8C, but it can also do these things a lot faster. In other words, temperatures drop when the cooling is set to 105 8C instead of 85 8C.

REFERENCES

1. *A. Abbas, M. Carcasse`s, J.-P. Ollivier, Gas permeability of concrete in relation to its degree of saturation, Mater. Struct. 32 (1999) 3 – 8.*
2. *F.D. Lydon, Effect of coarse aggregate and water/cement ratio on the intrinsic permeability of concrete subject to drying, Cem. Concr. Res. 25 (8) (1995) 1737 – 1746.*
3. *K.E. Hassan, J.G. Cabrera, Control of concrete performance by limiting oxygen permeability and oxygen diffusion, Internal Report, University of Leeds, United Kingdom, 1995.*
4. *E.P. Kearsley, P.J. Wainwright, Porosity and permeability of foamed concrete, Cem. Concr. Res. 31 (5) (2001) 805 – 812.*
5. *D. Whiting, Permeability of selected concretes, ACI Spec. Publ. Permeability Concr. SP-108 (1988) 195 – 222.*
6. *M. Carcasse`s, A. Abbas, J.-P. Ollivier, J. Verdier, An optimised preconditioning procedure for gas permeability measurement, Mater. Struct. 35 (2002) 22 – 27.*
7. *RILEM TC 116-PCD: permeability of concrete as a criterion of its durability. Tests for gas permeability of concrete, Mater. Struct. 32 (1999) 174 – 179.*

8. *G.R. Martin, A method for determining the relative permeability of concrete using gas, Mag. Concr. Res. 38 (135) (1986) 90 – 94.*
9. *F.D. Lydon, The relative permeability of concrete using nitrogen gas, Constr. Build. Mater. 7 (4) (1993) 213 – 220.*
10. *D.R. Gardner, The Permeability of Varying Strengths of Concrete, MEng Project, University of Wales, Cardiff, 2002.*
11. *Building research establishment, tension tests for concrete, BRE Dig. 451 (2000) 1 – 16.*
12. *J.M. Illston, Construction Materials, 2nd ed., E and FN Spon, London, 1994.*
13. *O.M. AL-Otaibi, Comparative study of permeability of concrete to nitrogen gas using different tests, PhD thesis, University of Wales, Cardiff, 2001.*